



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
AIKEN DIVISION

DARRELL LEE GOSS,  
Plaintiff,

VS.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE BRUCE HOWE HENDRICKS, and UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE MARY GORDON BAKER,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 1:20-00118-MGL

**ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION  
AND DISMISSING PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff Darrell Lee Goss (Goss), proceeding pro se, filed this action against Defendants United States of America, Judge Bruce Howe Hendricks, and Magistrate Judge Mary Gordon Baker seeking relief under the Federal Tort Claims Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1346(b), and *Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of the Federal Bureau of Narcotics*, 403 U.S. 388 (1971). This matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) of the United States Magistrate Judge recommending Goss's complaint be dismissed with prejudice and without issuance and service of process. The Report was made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the

Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on March 10, 2020. To date, Goss has failed to file any objections. “[I]n the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a *de novo* review, but instead must ‘only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.’” *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee’s note). Moreover, a failure to object waives appellate review. *Wright v. Collins*, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Cir. 1985).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standard set forth above, the Court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein. Therefore, it is the judgment of the Court Goss’s complaint is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** and without issuance and service of process.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Signed this 17th day of April 2020 in Columbia, South Carolina.

s/ Mary Geiger Lewis  
MARY GEIGER LEWIS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

\*\*\*\*\*

**NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL**

The parties are hereby notified of the right to appeal this Order within sixty days from the date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.